

**REMARKS**

Claims 15 to 39 are pending and allowed.

Applicants thank the Examiner for allowing claims 15 to 39.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of this response.

Claim 26 was objected to, because the use of the term “preconnected” is assertedly confusing. Although Applicant may not agree with the objection, to facilitate matters, claim 26 has been rewritten to change the phrase “preconnected to” to --connected in incoming circuit with--. In addition, claim 31 has been rewritten in an analogous manner. Support for the claims, as presented, may be found, for example, on pages 7, lines 5 to 8 of the Specification, as well as in Fig. 3a.

Claim 34 was objected to, because the use of the term “desired” is assertedly vague. Although Applicant may not agree with the objection, to facilitate matters, claim 34 has been rewritten to change the phrase “a desired working range and a desired frequency range” to --a working range and a frequency range valid for the submodels--. In addition, claims 23, 30 and 39 have been rewritten in an essentially analogous manner. Support for the claims, as presented, may be found, for example, on page 5, lines 20 to 23 of the Specification.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the objections be withdrawn, and it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance.

**Conclusion**

It is therefore respectfully submitted that all of claims 15 to 39 are allowed, and that the objections to claims 26 and 34 be withdrawn, since all issues raised have been addressed and obviated. An early and favorable action on the merits is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10/20/2008

By: GA Messina

Gerard A. Messina  
Reg. No. 35,952

KENYON & KENYON LLP  
One Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 425-7200

**CUSTOMER NO. 26646**

leg no.  
33, 865  
Aaron C  
O'EDITCH)